

公立学校施設の耐震改修状況調査結果について(都道府県別)

平成19(2007)年4月1日現在

都道府県名	全棟数	S57年以降			S56年以前の 全棟数に占める割合	耐震診断 実施済棟数	耐震 診断実施率	耐震診断実施率 率順位		耐震診断実施率の 伸び率	耐震診断 H19年度中 実施予定	統廃合・改築 等の計画	「耐震診断実施済棟数」に 「統廃合・改築等の計画」が ある棟を加えた割合		「耐震診断実施済棟数」と 「H19年度中実施予定」と 「統廃合・改築等の計画」 がある棟を加えた割合		S56年以前建築 の棟で耐震 性がある、及 び既に耐震評 価の棟数	耐震化率	耐震化率順位	S56年以前 の建築物に係 る耐震化率	耐震診断・ 耐震性につ いて学校の 公表状況		
		A	B	C				D=C/A	E				F=E/C	G	H	I=(E+H)/C						J=(E+H)/B	K
1 北海道	688	440	248	36.0%	217	87.5%	(82.1%)	33	(19)	5.4%	25	1	12	92.3%	(89.6%)	92.7%	136	83.7%	(81.5%)	4	(5)	54.8%	
2 青森	441	145	296	67.1%	274	92.6%	(64.8%)	28	(28)	27.8%	13	0	20	99.3%	(72.4%)	99.3%	170	71.4%	(70.6%)	14	(13)	57.4%	
3 岩手	427	224	203	47.5%	185	91.1%	(77.5%)	30	(20)	13.6%	19	2	16	99.0%	(98.4%)	100.0%	51	64.4%	(70.8%)	17	(12)	25.1%	
4 宮城	708	297	411	58.1%	319	77.6%	(75.6%)	37	(22)	2.0%	35	1	59	92.0%	(91.4%)	92.2%	274	80.6%	(75.1%)	7	(9)	66.7%	
5 秋田	389	135	254	65.3%	239	94.1%	(77.5%)	24	(20)	16.6%	17	0	15	100.0%	(96.4%)	100.0%	9	37.0%	(32.2%)	46	(45)	3.5%	
6 山形	388	196	192	49.5%	176	91.7%	(70.1%)	29	(25)	21.6%	16	6	0	91.7%	(89.7%)	94.8%	23	56.4%	(52.9%)	26	(25)	12.0%	
7 福島	798	254	544	68.2%	365	67.1%	(68.1%)	40	(26)	-1.0%	46	31	3	67.6%	(76.6%)	73.3%	152	50.9%	(46.3%)	32	(35)	27.9%	○
8 茨城	618	242	376	60.8%	212	56.4%	(46.5%)	43	(38)	9.9%	23	14	30	64.4%	(79.0%)	68.1%	82	52.4%	(52.2%)	30	(26)	21.8%	
9 栃木	605	219	386	63.8%	142	36.8%	(36.7%)	46	(43)	0.1%	39	0	22	42.5%	(36.7%)	42.5%	88	50.7%	(49.6%)	33	(29)	22.8%	○
10 群馬	908	442	467	51.4%	462	98.9%	(99.1%)	15	(7)	-0.2%	45	5	0	98.9%	(99.6%)	100.0%	300	81.6%	(87.0%)	5	(4)	64.2%	
11 埼玉	682	208	474	69.5%	471	99.4%	(95.4%)	10	(12)	4.0%	31	0	3	100.0%	(99.0%)	100.0%	299	74.3%	(70.9%)	11	(11)	63.1%	○
12 千葉	840	240	600	71.4%	584	97.3%	(92.4%)	21	(15)	4.9%	29	0	16	100.0%	(98.4%)	100.0%	240	57.1%	(55.8%)	23	(19)	40.0%	○
13 東京	1,784	880	904	50.7%	904	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	40	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	778	92.9%	(88.6%)	1	(2)	86.1%	
14 神奈川	845	260	585	69.2%	494	84.4%	(71.8%)	36	(24)	12.6%	20	50	0	84.4%	(74.2%)	93.0%	201	54.6%	(51.5%)	28	(27)	34.4%	
15 新潟	680	198	482	70.9%	416	86.3%	(38.6%)	34	(42)	47.7%	4	33	33	93.2%	(54.4%)	100.0%	162	52.9%	(45.2%)	29	(37)	33.6%	
16 富山	363	156	207	57.0%	202	97.6%	(97.6%)	20	(9)	0.0%	40	1	4	99.5%	(97.6%)	100.0%	25	49.9%	(47.6%)	34	(32)	12.1%	
17 石川	447	178	269	60.2%	252	93.7%	(61.5%)	25	(30)	32.2%	12	1	16	99.6%	(63.5%)	100.0%	115	65.5%	(53.2%)	16	(24)	42.8%	
18 福井	313	148	165	52.7%	165	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	40	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	78	72.2%	(99.5%)	12	(1)	47.3%	
19 山梨	256	133	123	48.0%	117	95.1%	(89.3%)	23	(16)	5.8%	24	6	0	95.1%	(99.2%)	100.0%	51	71.9%	(70.0%)	13	(14)	41.5%	
20 長野	1,129	491	638	56.5%	155	24.3%	(25.5%)	47	(46)	-1.2%	47	101	29	28.8%	(27.1%)	44.7%	65	49.2%	(44.0%)	36	(41)	10.2%	
21 岐阜	406	168	238	58.6%	238	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	40	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	192	88.7%	(87.3%)	2	(3)	80.7%	○
22 静岡	949	271	678	71.4%	677	99.9%	(99.6%)	8	(5)	0.3%	38	0	1	100.0%	(99.9%)	100.0%	456	76.6%	(75.3%)	10	(8)	67.3%	○
23 愛知	1,292	398	894	69.2%	894	100.0%	(99.5%)	1	(6)	0.5%	37	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	366	59.1%	(54.5%)	19	(22)	40.9%	○
24 三重	616	216	400	64.9%	396	99.0%	(87.6%)	13	(17)	11.4%	21	3	1	99.3%	(99.0%)	100.0%	307	84.9%	(77.1%)	3	(7)	76.8%	
25 滋賀	522	251	271	51.9%	271	100.0%	(95.7%)	1	(11)	4.3%	30	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	47	57.1%	(56.0%)	23	(18)	17.3%	
26 京都	607	263	344	56.7%	344	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	40	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	83	57.0%	(55.4%)	25	(20)	24.1%	○
27 大阪	1,435	384	1,051	73.2%	1,041	99.0%	(58.9%)	13	(31)	40.1%	9	0	7	99.7%	(99.1%)	99.7%	120	35.1%	(32.2%)	47	(45)	11.4%	△
28 兵庫	1,220	372	848	69.5%	841	99.2%	(96.7%)	12	(10)	2.5%	34	4	3	99.5%	(100.0%)	100.0%	200	46.9%	(45.7%)	40	(36)	23.6%	
29 奈良	293	109	184	62.8%	166	90.2%	(29.8%)	31	(44)	60.4%	1	0	18	100.0%	(30.2%)	100.0%	26	46.1%	(48.0%)	42	(31)	14.1%	
30 和歌山	393	121	272	69.2%	270	99.3%	(94.2%)	11	(13)	5.1%	28	0	2	100.0%	(99.6%)	100.0%	189	78.9%	(74.2%)	9	(10)	69.5%	
31 鳥取	234	87	147	62.8%	126	85.7%	(43.4%)	35	(41)	42.3%	8	1	15	95.9%	(99.1%)	96.6%	21	46.2%	(43.2%)	41	(42)	14.3%	○
32 島根	265	89	176	66.4%	118	67.0%	(64.0%)	41	(29)	3.0%	32	42	16	76.1%	(100.0%)	100.0%	64	57.7%	(62.3%)	22	(15)	36.4%	
33 岡山	551	209	342	62.1%	341	99.7%	(84.1%)	9	(18)	15.6%	18	0	0	99.7%	(91.2%)	99.7%	52	47.4%	(44.6%)	39	(38)	15.2%	
34 広島	1,161	507	654	56.3%	642	98.2%	(74.7%)	18	(23)	23.5%	15	1	11	99.8%	(100.0%)	100.0%	46	47.6%	(47.6%)	38	(32)	7.0%	
35 山口	586	199	387	66.0%	387	100.0%	(99.0%)	1	(8)	1.0%	36	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	70	45.9%	(42.0%)	43	(43)	18.1%	○
36 徳島	321	85	236	73.5%	176	74.6%	(21.5%)	39	(47)	53.1%	2	0	60	100.0%	(63.3%)	100.0%	41	39.3%	(28.7%)	45	(47)	17.4%	○
37 香川	423	151	272	64.3%	164	60.3%	(54.9%)	42	(33)	5.4%	25	0	27	70.2%	(68.4%)	70.2%	59	49.6%	(44.6%)	35	(38)	21.7%	○
38 愛媛	373	137	236	63.3%	90	38.1%	(27.0%)	45	(45)	11.1%	22	0	0	38.1%	(43.3%)	38.1%	14	40.5%	(36.5%)	44	(44)	5.9%	
39 高知	331	171	160	48.3%	80	50.0%	(44.7%)	44	(39)	5.3%	27	11	6	53.8%	(56.0%)	60.6%	11	55.0%	(49.6%)	27	(29)	6.9%	○
40 福岡	1,419	688	731	51.5%	654	89.5%	(43.7%)	32	(40)	45.8%	5	0	72	99.3%	(67.3%)	99.3%	140	58.4%	(51.0%)	20	(28)	19.2%	
41 佐賀	310	132	178	57.4%	171	96.1%	(93.4%)	22	(14)	2.7%	33	0	7	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	63	62.9%	(62.0%)	18	(16)	35.4%	
42 長崎	589	241	348	59.1%	263	75.6%	(50.8%)	38	(35)	24.8%	14	62	23	82.2%	(81.5%)	100.0%	41	47.9%	(44.1%)	37	(40)	11.8%	
43 熊本	848	410	438	51.7%	429	97.9%	(64.9%)	19	(27)	33.0%	11	0	9	100.0%	(75.2%)	100.0%	150	66.0%	(54.7%)	15	(21)	34.2%	
44 大分	484	152	332	68.6%	309	93.1%	(48.4%)	27	(36)	44.7%	6	0	23	100.0%	(61.7%)	100.0%	129	58.1%	(56.1%)	21	(17)	38.9%	
45 宮崎	604	214	390	64.6%	384	98.5%	(47.3%)	16	(37)	51.2%	3	0	6	100.0%	(96.8%)	100.0%	265	79.3%	(54.0%)	8	(23)	67.9%	
46 鹿児島	991	252	739	74.6%	727	98.4%	(53.7%)	17	(34)	44.7%	6	12	0	98.4%	(75.4%)	100.0%	258	51.5%	(47.2%)	31	(34)	34.9%	
47 沖縄	554	447	107	19.3%	100	93.5%	(55.0%)	26	(32)	38.5%	10	7	0	93.5%	(100.0%)	100.0%	5	81.6%	(80.8%)	5	(6)	4.7%	
合 計	31,087	12,210	18,877	60.7%	16,650	88.2%	(72.0%)			16.2%		395	585	91.3%	(84.7%)	93.4%	6,714	80.9%	(57.5%)			35.6%	

※1 ()内の数値については、平成18年4月1日現在の値。
 ※2 「耐震診断H19年度中実施予定」は、設置者において財政部局等の関係部局と調整のうえ、耐震診断を平成19年度中に必ず実施できるものとしている。
 ※3 「統廃合・改築等の計画」は、統廃合・廃校・改築・取壊しの年度が決定しているもの、生徒・教職員等が全く使用していないものとしている。
 ※4 「耐震診断・耐震性について学校名の公表状況」は、耐震診断の結果について、既に学校名を公表している場合は「○」、平成19年度中に学校名の公表を予定している場合は「△」としている。